

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ispin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/830,182	04/22/2004	Andrea F. Gulla	426.008A	7722
47888	7590 04/06/2006		EXAMINER	
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			HAILEY, PATRICIA L	
NEW YORK,	JE OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	^	
_	7	
•	- 4	4
L	•	•

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/830,182	GULLA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Patricia L. Hailey	1755	

• , ,	LAdillillei	Aironic	i
	Patricia L. Hailey	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	<b>,</b>		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		p.iidiit / iiiidiidiit	(1 102 024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protein the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>9,10,12,13,20-22,36-44 and 46.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-8, 11, 14-19, 47, 47, and 48.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	
<del>-</del> - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J. A. LARENGO SUPERVISORY PATENT EX	- Aminer	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments "An electrocatalyst" and "an electrically" in claim 1 require new issues requiring further consideration and search; further, these amendments do not appear to be clearly supported by the Specification..